

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

***IN RE: HOTEL TVPRA LITIGATION***<sup>1</sup>

:  
: Case Nos. 2:22-cv-1924; 2:22-cv-2682;  
: 2:22-cv-3185; 2:22-cv-3202; 2:22-cv-3256;  
: 2:22-cv-3258; 2:22-cv-3416; 2:22-cv-3766;  
: 2:22-cv-3767; 2:22-cv-3768; 2:22-cv-3769;  
: 2:22-cv-3770; 2:22-cv-3771; 2:22-cv-3772;  
: 2:22-cv-3773; 2:22-cv-3774; 2:22-cv-3776;  
: 2:22-cv-3778; 2:22-cv-3782; 2:22-cv-3784;  
: 2:22-cv-3786; 2:22-cv-3787; 2:22-cv-3788;  
: 2:22-cv-3797; 2:22-cv-3799; 2:22-cv-3811;  
: 2:22-cv-3837; 2:22-cv-3839; 2:22-cv-3844;  
: 2:22-cv-3845; 2:22-cv-3846; 2:23-cv-1731.  
:  
: **Chief Judge Algenon L. Marbley**  
:  
: **Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers**  
:

**ORDER**

This matter is before this Court on Motions to Review Suggestions for Scheduling Conference filed by Plaintiffs in each of the thirty-two above captioned cases in advance of a December 4, 2023 conference that this Court held relating to administrative matters. (See e.g., *L.G. v. Red Roof Inns, Inc. et al.*, Case No: 22-cv-1924, ECF No. 48).<sup>2</sup> In the Motions, Plaintiffs' counsel proposes a detailed plan for administrative management of the *In re Hotel TVPRA*

---

<sup>1</sup> For the convenience of the parties and for administrative purposes, this Court will collectively refer to the above captioned cases and all related cases as "*In re Hotel TVPRA Litigation*." The clerk is **DIRECTED** to file this Opinion & Order in **ONLY** those cases identified in the caption.

<sup>2</sup> This Court will only cite to the record of the first filed case at issue, *L.G. v. Red Roof Inns, Inc. et al.*, Case No: 22-cv-1924, since it is representative of the above captioned cases for the purpose of resolving the instant motions. Identical motions have been filed in three other cases, Case Nos. 2:21-cv-4933, 2:21-cv-4934, and 2:21-cv-4935, which are more advanced in the litigation process and were accordingly not included in the conference. The motions filed in those cases will be addressed in a separate order.

*Litigation*, given that the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) previously concluded that the litigation ought to be coordinated informally by this Court. (*See e.g., id.*).

During the December 4, 2023 conference, the Parties and this Court discussed how best to manage the *In re Hotel TVPRA Litigation*, including the possibility of multidistrict litigation. At the conclusion of the conference, this Court ordered Plaintiffs’ counsel to file a Motion for Reconsideration with the JPML after a period of conferral between the parties. (*See e.g.,* ECF No. 52). Accordingly, Plaintiffs’ Motions to Review Suggestions for Scheduling Conference are **DENIED AS MOOT.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
ALGENON L. MARBLEY

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

  
ELIZABETH A. PRESTON DEAVERS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**DATED: January 16, 2024**